

move to make it the bill for to-morrow at 12 o'clock.

As made, but the motion the bill was ordered to special order for 12 o'clock.

A special order was the and establish the new order, reported from the orally.

reading of the bill the ed, and this bill comes finished business to-

THE JUDGMENTS.

VS. CLAUD.

commentary upon the Supreme Court in the vs. Claud will strike whether legal or layman, sensible. The communi- the pen of a gentleman days did honor to the th Carolina, and would of could return so that would have the action of knowing that whole State the pho- is honorably ware-

forth. Affix the warrant to submit a few pages under the base of and nearly antiquated

Justice Brandeis, in his dissent, said that the Chief Justice, if not the law, had in effect decided fully the argument. He established his view as to matters of law, these thoughts, however, are not matters of fact, have overlooked, number of law, following the Chief Justice's lead, he has taken the case out of ordinary commerce as it is in the Court in which the Court is to coordinate a department, coordinate, unless that is clearly and plainly required, a piece of which men and just, Ruffin, when shall we say, in *Hirsh*, we ought to be, to be, raised, unless upon such a response, in the constitutional and to the court and using clearly understood.

favor of the general theory recognized in the First Amendment. But there is an apparent conflict between the two positions of the statements. The first, because the for-
mulation of the rights of the citizens, equal to the
of the meaning of the rights of the citizens, equal to the
involves of patriotism
duty, to uphold that
is to become, and the
propaganda incitements at
erty of the citizens
supposed to be known
to the public, and to ex-
them. But even these
not sufficient to overcom-
ing, it is repugnant to
is plain." Words fully
Justice Marshall in
week.

read the opinion of the
and said that the Court
is clear to the Court
of his clear statement
that it did really exist
If so, can they, after
presenting opinion? I
of its examine the sec-

which I think Justice Marshall, which to us seemed to be a more than all the other decided, conclusive, especially as supplemental to Justice's opinion, is the true construction of article 4, of the Constitution, and that article 41 of the Constitution provides the following officers: Grand Associate Justices, Chief Justice, Supreme Court, Superior Court, Probate Judges, Justices of the Peace, Clerks, Coroners, Constables, and Sheriffs—that is, those to whom those terms are for two years; and those for two years and those for one year and one day, and 31, it is again contained in juxtaposition, made for themselves in the office that the two would only be necessary for the office to be made in the last, every officer for two years; and every officer more than two years; and two years; and two years.

[illegible]

The Constitution stipulates the clause with which I make it equivalent so as to make it impossible to which it was intended, having made it in the Constitution in its original expression the same meaning.

In face of the direct contradiction which he has made, he says that an ex-ante Justices (ex-ante) endeavor to get an act from a legislative body that is a reversal of their

the election to fill a post by the people must be a limit of the term. The irregularity of the manner of the Judges—a potent conviction.

A Justice had been elected and I had

...ent body the opinion
in the decision, I
been surprised at
not aware of his great
matter to me is in-
M.
to first know of
pleased at this

